

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RESOURCE TRANSITION
CONSULTANTS, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

MARIA LOEZA, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-CV-1505 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Pending before the court is plaintiff Resource Transition Consultants, LLC's ("RTC") motion to remand. (Doc. # 2). No response or opposition has been filed.

Local Rule 7-2(d) provides that failure to file points and authorities in opposition to a motion constitutes consent that the motion be granted. *Abbott v. United Venture Capitol, Inc.*, 718 F. Supp. 828, 831 (D. Nev. 1989). The local rules have the force of law. *United States v. Hvass*, 355 U.S. 570, 574–575 (1958); *Weil v. Neary*, 278 U.S. 160, 169 (1929); *Marshall v. Gates*, 44 F.3d 722, 723 (9th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the parties have consented to the motion.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff RTC's motion to remand (doc. # 2) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants Maria Loeza and Raff Mancera's application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (doc. # 1) be DENIED as moot.


...

...

...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other pending motions before this court (docs. ## 5
2 and 7) be, and the same hereby are, DENIED as moot, without prejudice to their refiling in state
3 court.

4 DATED October 2, 2015.

5 
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28